arXiv:hep-th/0605025v4 28 Feb 2007

Canonical quantization of so-called non-Lagrangian systems.

D.M. Gitman[1], V.G. Kupriyanov[1,2]

[1]Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo,
Caixa Postal 66318-CEP, 05315-970 São Paulo, S.P., Brazil
[2] Physics Department, Tomsk State University, Tomsk, *634050,* Russia
E-mail: gitman@dfn.if.usp.br (D.M.Gitman), kvg@dfn.if.usp.br (V.G.Kupriyanov).

Abstract

We present an approach to the canonical quantization of systems with equations of motion that are historically called non-Lagrangian equations. Our viewpoint of this problem is the following: despite the fact that a set of differential equations cannot be directly identified with a set of Euler-Lagrange equations, one can reformulate such a set in an equivalent first-order form which can always be treated as the Euler-Lagrange equations of a certain action. We construct such an action explicitly. It turns out that in the general case the hamiltonization and canonical quantization of such an action are non-trivial problems, since the theory involves time-dependent constraints. We adopt the general approach of hamiltonization and canonical quantization for such theories (Gitman, Tyutin, 1990) to the case under consideration. There exists an ambiguity (not reduced to a total time derivative) in associating a Lagrange function with a given set of equations. We present a complete description of this ambiguity. The proposed scheme is applied to the quantization of a general quadratic theory. In addition, we consider the quantization of a damped oscillator and of a radiating point-like charge.

1 Introduction

It is well-known that some physical systems like dissipative systems [1], Dirac monopole [2], etc. are usually described in terms of second-order equations of motion which cannot be directly identified with Euler-Lagrange equations for an action principle. Following traditional terminology, we call such equations of motion non-Lagrangian equations in what follows. Sometimes (but not always) non-Lagrangian equations can be reduced to Euler-Lagrange equations by multiplying by the so-called integrating multiplier [3]-[5]. The existence of an action principle for a given physical system, or what is the same, the existence of a Lagrange function for such a system, allows one to proceed with canonical quantization schemes. This, in particular, stresses the importance of formulating action principle for any physical system.

In the present work we discuss an approach to constructing quantum theories that in the classical limit reproduce non-Lagrangian equations of motion for mean values. In fact, we consider a canonical quantization of Lagrangian theories with time-dependent constraints that are related to the non-Lagrangian systems. To this end, on the classical level, we reduce non-Lagrangian equations of motion to an equivalent set of first-order differential equations. For such equations, one can always construct an action principle, the corresponding consideration is represented in section 2 and, partially, is based on results of works [8]-[10]. The hamiltonization of the constructed Lagrangian theory leads to a Hamiltonian theory with time-dependent constraints as it is demonstrated in section 3. Thus, we show that systems traditionally called non-Lagrangian ones are, in fact, equivalent to some first-order Lagrangian systems, however, with time-dependent constraints in Hamiltonian formulation. The canonical quantization of the latter theory is not a trivial problem (it follows to general consideration of [14]) and is represented in section 4. It is known that on the classical level, there exists an ambiguity in constructing Lagrange function (which is not reduced to a total time derivative) for a given set of equations [8]-[13]. We describe completely such an ambiguity for the case under consideration. We apply the general approach to formulate the canonical quantization in case of theories with arbitrary linear inhomogenous equations of motion (general quadratic theories), see section 5. Then we consider the canonical quantization of a damped harmonic oscillator (sec. 6) and a radiating point-like charge (sec.7).

2 Action principle for non-Lagrangian systems

Let a system with n degrees of freedom be described by a set of n non-Lagrangian second-order differential equations of motion. To construct an action principle, we replace these equations (which is always possible) by an equivalent set of $2n$ first-order differential equations, solvable with respect to time derivatives. Suppose such a set has a form

$$\dot{x}^{\alpha} = f^{\alpha}(t,x)\,,\ \alpha = 1,..,2n\,, \tag{1}$$

where $f^{\alpha}(t,x)$ are some functions of the indicated arguments and by dots above we denote time derivatives of coordinates. Since these equations are first-order, action $S[x]$ that yields (1) as Euler–Lagrange equations, must be linear in the first time derivative $\dot{x}^{\alpha}$. Its general form is

$$S[x] = \int dt\, L,\ \ L = J_{\alpha}\dot{x}^{\alpha} - H\,, \tag{2}$$

where $J_{\alpha} = J_{\alpha}(t,x)$ and $H = H(t,x)$ are some functions of the indicated arguments. The Euler–Lagrange equations corresponding to (2) are

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = 0 \Longrightarrow -\partial_{\alpha}H - \partial_t J_{\alpha} + \left(\partial_{\alpha}J_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}J_{\alpha}\right)\dot{x}^{\beta} = 0\,, \tag{3}$$

where the notation are used

$$\partial_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\,,\ \partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,.$$

Denoting the combination $(\partial_{\alpha}J_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}J_{\alpha})$ by $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}J_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}J_{\alpha} = \Omega_{\alpha\beta}(t,x) = -\Omega_{\beta\alpha}(t,x)\,, \tag{4}$$

we rewrite (3) as follows:

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}\dot{x}^{\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}H + \partial_t J_{\alpha}\,. \tag{5}$$

Equations (3) or (5) can be identified with (1), provided

$$\det\Omega_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0\,, \tag{6}$$

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}f^{\beta} - \partial_t J_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha}H\,. \tag{7}$$

The functions J_{α} and H can be found from conditions (4)–(7) if the matrix $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is given. Assuming that J_{α} and H are smooth functions the consistency condition for equations (7) imply

$$\partial_{\beta}\left(\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}f^{\gamma}\right) - \partial_{\alpha}\left(\Omega_{\beta\gamma}f^{\gamma}\right) + \partial_t\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \Longrightarrow \partial_t\Omega_{\alpha\beta} + \pounds_f\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0\,, \tag{8}$$

where $\pounds_f\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Lie derivative of $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ along the vector field f^{γ}. In addition, one can verify that the matrix $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ (4) obeys the Jacobi identity ($\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is a symplectic matrix)

$$\partial_{\alpha}\Omega_{\beta\gamma} + \partial_{\beta}\Omega_{\gamma\alpha} + \partial_{\gamma}\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = 0\,. \tag{9}$$

Now we are going to analyze these equations. It is known that the general solution $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ of equation (8) can be constructed with the help of a solution of the Cauchy problem for equations (1). Suppose that such a solution is known,

$$x^{\alpha} = \varphi^{\alpha}(t,x_{(0)})\,,\ x^{\alpha}_{(0)} = \varphi^{\alpha}(0,x_{(0)}) \tag{10}$$

be a solution of equations (1) for any $x_{(0)} = \left(x^{\alpha}_{(0)}\right)$, and $\chi^{\alpha}(t,x)$ be the inverse function with respect to $\varphi^{\alpha}(t,x_{(0)})$, i.e.,

$$x^{\alpha} = \varphi^{\alpha}(t,x_{(0)}) \Longrightarrow x^{\alpha}_{(0)} = \chi^{\alpha}(t,x)\,,\ x^{\alpha} \equiv \varphi^{\alpha}(t,\chi^{\alpha})\,,\ \partial_{\alpha}\chi^{\gamma}|_{t=0} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}\,. \tag{11}$$

Then

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(t,x) = \partial_{\alpha}\chi^{\gamma}\,\Omega^{(0)}_{\gamma\delta}\left(\chi\right)\,\partial_{\beta}\chi^{\delta}\,, \tag{12}$$

where the matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the initial condition for $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$,

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(t,x)|_{t=0} = \Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}(x)\,.$$

It follows from (9) at $t=0$ that the matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ obeys the Jacoby identity such that the general structure of this matrix is (we do not consider global problems which arise from a nontrivial topology of the x^{α}-space)

$$\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha} j_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} j_{\alpha} \,, \tag{13}$$

where $j_{\alpha}(x)$ are some arbitrary functions. Then equation (12) implies

$$\Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\psi_{\alpha} \,, \ \psi_{\alpha}(t,x) = j_{\beta}\left(\chi(t,x)\right)\partial_{\alpha}\chi^{\beta}(t,x) \,. \tag{14}$$

On the other hand, relation (4) must hold,

$$\partial_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}\psi_{\alpha} = \partial_{\alpha}J_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta}J_{\alpha} \,,$$

which implies that

$$J_{\alpha}(t,x) = \psi_{\alpha} + \partial_{\alpha}\varphi = j_{\beta}\left(\chi(t,x)\right)\partial_{\alpha}\chi^{\beta}(t,x) + \partial_{\alpha}\varphi(t,x) \,, \tag{15}$$

where $\varphi(t,x)$ is an arbitrary function. One can represent another form for $J_{\alpha}(t,x)$, in which the ambiguity related to the arbitrary functions $j_{\beta}(x)$ is incorporated in the matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}$. To this end, we remind that the general solution for $J_{\alpha}(t,x)$ of the equation (4) provided that $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}$ is a given antisymmetric matrix that obeys the Jacobi identity, is given by

$$J_{\alpha}(t,x) = \int_{0}^{1} x^{\beta}\Omega_{\beta\alpha}(t,sx)\, sds + \partial_{\alpha}\varphi(t,x) \,, \tag{16}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is an arbitrary function. Substituting (12) into (16), we obtain

$$J_{\alpha}(t,y) = \int_{0}^{1} y^{\beta}\left[\partial_{\alpha}\chi^{\gamma}\,\Omega^{(0)}_{\gamma\delta}(\chi)\,\partial_{\beta}\chi^{\delta}\right]\Big|_{x=sy} sds + \partial_{\alpha}\varphi(t,y) \,. \tag{17}$$

Equations (15) or (**??**) desribe all the ambiguity (arbitrary functions $j_{\beta}(x)$ and $\varphi(t,x)$, or arbitrary symplectic matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\gamma\delta}$ and arbitrary function $\varphi(t,x)$) in constructing the term $J_{\alpha}(t,x)$ of the Lagrange function (2).

One can also see that choosing the matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}(x)$ to be nonsingular, we guarantee the nonsingularity (condition (6)) for the matrix $\Omega_{\alpha\beta}(t,x)$ since components of the latter are given by a change of variables (12).

To restore the term H in the Lagrange function (2), we need to solve the equation (7) with respect to H. To this end, we remind that the general solution of the equation $\partial_i f = g_i$, provided a vector g_i is a gradient, is given by

$$f(x) = \int_{0}^{1} ds\ x^{i} g_{i}(sx)\ + c \,,$$

where c is a constant. Taking the above into account, we obtain for H the following representation:

$$H(t,x) = \int_{0}^{1} ds\, x^{\beta}\left[\Omega_{\beta\alpha}(t,sx) f^{\alpha}(t,sx) - \partial_t J_{\beta}(t,sx)\right] + c(t) \,, \tag{18}$$

where $c(t)$ is an arbitrary function of time, and $\Omega_{\beta\alpha}$ and J_{β} are given by (12) and (17) respectively. All the arbitrarness in constructing H is thus due to arbitrary symplectic matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\gamma\delta}$, arbitrary functions $\varphi(t,x)$ entering into $\Omega_{\beta\alpha}$ and J_{β} and due $c(t)$.

We see that there exist a family of actions (2) which lead to the same equations of motion (1). It is easy to see that actions with the same $\Omega^{(0)}_{\gamma\delta}$ but different functions $\varphi(t,x)$ and $c(t)$ differs by a total time derivative (we call such a difference trivial). A difference in Lagrange functions related to different choice of symplectic matrices $\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}$ is not trivial. The coresponding Lagrangians are known as s-equivalent Lagrangians. In spite of the fact that actions with nontrivial difference lead to the same equations of motion, they lead in general to different Hamiltonian formulations and to different quantum theories in course of the quantization. However, any quantum theory that is obtained by the developed below quantization procedure obeys the correspondence principle, i.e., in the classical limit, equations of motion for mean values coincide with (1). Equations of motion (1) do no contain any additional information that can be used in choosing a "right" quantum theory. Only physical considerations or a comparison with experiment may be used for this aim. Below, we are going to consider hamiltonization and subsequent quantization of the action (2) with the following choice of the symplectic matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\gamma\delta}$

$$\Omega^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is an $n \times n$ unit matrix, and $\mathbf{0}$ denotes an $n \times n$ zero matrix. This choice of the action leads to the canonical commutation relations for original variables on the quantum level. Hamiltonization and quantization of the action (2) with different choices of the symplectic matrix $\Omega^{(0)}_{\gamma\delta}$ can be fulfilled in the same manner, but technically look more clumsily.

Note that (19) implies that there exist only two possibilities for the matrix Ω in (12). Namely, it is either a canonical symplectic matrix, which is possible only if the initial equations (1) are canonical Hamiltonian equations (or, equivalently, Lagrangian equations of motion for the first-order action), or it must depend on time, which is the case of non-Lagrangian equations.

The first-order action (2) can be regarded as a Lagrangian action, or as a Hamiltonian action with a noncanonical Poisson bracket. An equivalent second-order Lagrangian formulation is always possible; however, it may include additional variables [15].

One ought to say that it is always possible to construct a Lagrangian action for non-Lagrangian second-order equations in an extended configuration space following a simple idea first proposed by Bateman [22]. Such a Lagrangian has a form of a sum of initial equations of motion being multiplied by the corresponding Lagrangian multipliers, new variables. Euler-Lagrange equations for such an action contain besides the initial equations some new equations of motion for the Lagrange multipliers. In such an approach one has to think how to interprete the new variables already on the classical level. Additional difficulties (indefinite metric) can appear in course of the quantization.

As an example, we consider a theory with equations of motion of the form[1]

$$\dot{x} = A(t)x + j(t) \, . \tag{20}$$

We call such a theory the general quadratic theory. Let us apply the above consideration to construct the action principle for such a theory.

Solution of the Cauchy problem for the equations (20) reads

$$x(t) = \Gamma(t)x_{(0)} + \gamma(t) \, , \tag{21}$$

where the matrix $\Gamma(t)$ is the fundamental solution of (20), i.e.,

$$\dot{\Gamma} = A\Gamma \, , \; \Gamma(0) = 1 \, , \tag{22}$$

and $\gamma(t)$ is a partial solution of (20). Then following (12), we construct the matrix Ω,

$$\Omega = \Lambda^T \Omega^{(0)} \Lambda \, , \;\; \Lambda = \Gamma^{-1} \, . \tag{23}$$

and find the functions J and H according to (17) and (18),

$$J = \frac{1}{2} x\Omega \, , \; H = \frac{1}{2} xBx - Cx \, , \tag{24}$$

where

$$B = \frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega A - A^T \Omega\right) \, , \; C = \Omega j \, . \tag{25}$$

Thus, the action functional for the general quadratic theory is

$$S[x] = \frac{1}{2}\int dt \left(x\Omega\dot{x} - xBx - 2Cx\right) \, . \tag{26}$$

Another approach to constructing the action functional for the general quadratic theory was proposed in [1].

Note that Darboux coordinates x_0 can be written via a matrix Λ as follows:

$$x \to x_0 = R^{-1}(t)\Lambda(t)x \, . \tag{27}$$

---

[1] Here we use matrix notation, $x = (x^\alpha) \, , \; A(t) = \left(A(t)^\alpha_\beta\right) \, , \; j(t) = (j(t)^\alpha) \, , \; \alpha, \beta = 1, .., 2n$.

Here, $R(t)$ is an arbitrary matrix of a linear (generally time-dependent) canonical transformation:

$$R^T(t)\Omega^{(0)}R(t) = \Omega^{(0)}\,.$$

In terms of the coordinates x_0, action (26) takes the form

$$S[x] = \frac{1}{2}\int dt\left(x_0\Omega^{(0)}\dot{x}_0 + x_0R^T\Omega^{(0)}\dot{R}x_0 - 2C\Gamma Rx_0\right)\,. \tag{28}$$

The Darboux coordinates (27) can be divided into coordinates and corresponding momenta. The Euler–Lagrange equations for action (28) have the form of canonical Hamilton equations with the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}x_0R^T\Omega^{(0)}\dot{R}x_0 + C\Gamma Rx_0\,. \tag{29}$$

Note that the choice $R = \text{const}$ yields a trivial Hamiltonian, which is consistent with the fact that in this case x_0 are the initial data without dynamics.

3 Hamiltonian formulation

We are now going to consider action (2) as a Lagrangian action with the Lagrange function

$$L = J_\alpha\left(t,x\right)\dot{x}^\alpha - H\left(t,x\right) \tag{30}$$

and construct a corresponding Hamiltonian formulation. To this end, we follow the general[2] scheme of [14]. We first construct the action $S^v[x,\pi,v]$, which, in this case, has the form

$$S^v[x,\pi,v] = \int\left[J_\alpha\left(t,x\right)v^\alpha - H\left(t,x\right) + \pi_\alpha\left(\dot{x}^\alpha - v^\alpha\right)\right]dt\,, \tag{31}$$

and depends on the momenta π_α conjugate to the coordinates x^α, as well as on the velocities v^α. The equations

$$\frac{\delta S^v}{\delta v^\alpha} = \Phi_\alpha\left(t,x,\pi\right) = \pi_\alpha - J_\alpha(t,x) = 0 \tag{32}$$

do not allow one to express the velocities via x and π, which implies the appearance of primary constraints $\Phi_\alpha\left(t,x,\pi\right)$, and the velocities v^α become Lagrangian multipliers to these constraints, so that action (31) becomes a Hamiltonian action of a theory with the primary constraints (32),

$$S_{\mathrm{H}} = \int dt\{\pi_\alpha\dot{x}^\alpha - H^{(1)}\}\,,\; H^{(1)} = H(t,x) + \lambda^\alpha\Phi_\alpha\left(t,x,\pi\right)\,, \tag{33}$$

with the equations of motion

$$\dot{\eta} = \left\{\eta, H^{(1)}\right\}\,,\; \Phi = 0\,, \tag{34}$$

where $\eta = (x,\pi)$.

The primary constraints are second-class ones. Indeed, we have, in virtue of (6),

$$\{\Phi_\alpha,\Phi_\beta\} = \Omega_{\alpha\beta}(t,x) \Longrightarrow \det\{\Phi_\alpha,\Phi_\beta\} \neq 0\,. \tag{35}$$

Thus, secondary constraints do not appear, and all λ-s are determined from the consistency conditions for the primary constraints:

$$\begin{aligned}&\dot{\Phi}_\alpha = \partial_t\Phi_\alpha + \{\Phi_\alpha, H^{(1)}\} = 0 \Longrightarrow -\partial_tJ_\alpha - \partial_\alpha H + \lambda^\beta\{\Phi_\alpha,\Phi_\beta\} = 0 \Longrightarrow\\ &\lambda^\beta = \omega^{\beta\alpha}\left(\partial_tJ_\alpha + \partial_\alpha H\right)\,,\; \omega^{\beta\alpha} = \Omega^{-1}_{\beta\alpha}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

---

[2] Note that some of J_α can be equal to zero, for instance, if one deals with a canonical Hamiltonian action. In this case, one obtains the constraints $\Phi_\alpha = \pi_\alpha = 0$. Another way to examine this case is to use the method of hamiltonization for theories with degenerate coordinates [16].

Using the Lagrange multipliers (36) in equations (34), we can write these equations in the form

$$\dot{\eta} = \{\eta, H\}_{D(\Phi)} + \{\eta, \Phi_\alpha\}\omega^{\alpha\beta}\partial_t J_\beta\,,\ \Phi = 0\,, \tag{37}$$

where $\{\cdots,\cdots\}_{D(\Phi)}$ are the Dirac brackets with respect to the second-class constraints Φ. For the canonical variables the Dirac brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} \{x^\alpha, x^\beta\}_{D(\Phi)} &= \omega^{\alpha\beta}\,, \\ \{\pi_\alpha, \pi_\beta\}_{D(\Phi)} &= \partial_\alpha J_\rho \omega^{\rho\gamma}\partial_\beta J_\gamma\,, \\ \{x^\alpha, \pi_\beta\}_{D(\Phi)} &= \delta^\alpha_\beta + \omega^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_\beta J_\gamma\,. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Formally introducing a momentum ϵ conjugate to the time t, and defining the Poisson brackets in an extended space of the canonical variables $(x, \pi; t, \epsilon) = (\eta; t, \epsilon)$, see [14], we can rewrite (37) as follows:

$$\dot{\eta} = \{\eta, H + \epsilon\}_{D(\Phi)}\,,\ \Phi = 0\,. \tag{39}$$

Equations (39) present a Hamiltonian formulation of non-Lagrangian systems with first-order equations of motion (1). We note that the Hamiltonian constraints in this formulation are second-class ones and depend on time explicitly. The canonical quantization of theories with time-dependent second-class constraints can be carried out along the lines of [14]. Below, we present the details of such a quantization, and then adopt it to the system under consideration.

4 Canonical quantization

For a Hamiltonian theory with time-dependent second-class constraints, the quantization procedure in the "Schrödinger" picture is realized as follows. The phase-space variables η of a theory with time-dependent second-class constraints $\Phi_l(\eta, t)$ are assigned operators $\hat{\eta}\,(t)$ subject to the equal-time commutation relations and the constraints equations

$$[\hat{\eta}^A\,(t)\,, \hat{\eta}^B\,(t)] = i\{\eta^A, \eta^B\}_{D(\Phi)}|_{\eta=\hat{\eta}}\,,\ \Phi_l(\hat{\eta}\,(t)\,, t) = 0\,. \tag{40}$$

Their time evolution is postulated as (we neglect the problem of operator ordering [17])

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\eta}\,(t) = \{\eta, \epsilon\}_{D(\Phi)}|_{\eta=\hat{\eta}} = -\{\eta, \Phi_l\}\{\Phi, \Phi\}^{-1}_{ll'}\partial_t \Phi_{l'}|_{\eta=\hat{\eta}}\,. \tag{41}$$

To each physical quantity F, given in the Hamiltonian formulation by a function $F(t, \eta)$, we assign a "Schrödinger" operator $\hat{F}\,(t)$, by the rule $\hat{F}\,(t) = F(t, \hat{\eta}\,(t))$. For arbitrary "Schrödinger" operators $\hat{F}\,(t)$ and $\hat{G}\,(t)$, the relation

$$[\hat{F}\,(t)\,, \hat{G}\,(t)] = i\{F, G\}_{D(\Phi)}|_{\eta=\hat{\eta}} \tag{42}$$

holds as a consequence of (40). The quantum states of the system are described by vectors Ψ of a Hilbert space with a scalar product (Ψ, Ψ'). Their time evolution is determined by the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial\Psi\,(t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\Psi\,(t)\ , \tag{43}$$

where the quantum Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is constructed according to the classical function $H(t, \eta)$ as $\hat{H}\,(t) = H(t, \hat{\eta}\,(t))$. The mean values $\langle F\rangle_t$ of a physical quantity F are determined as the mean values of a corresponding "Schrödinger" operator $\hat{F}\,(t) = F(t, \hat{\eta}\,(t))$ with respect to state vectors $\Psi\,(t)$,

$$\langle F\rangle_t = \Big(\Psi\,(t)\,, \hat{F}\,(t)\,\Psi\,(t)\Big)\ . \tag{44}$$

Provided that $\hat{H}$ is a self-adjoint operator, the time evolution of state vectors $\Psi\,(t)$ is unitary,

$$\Psi\,(t) = U\,(t)\,\Psi\,(0)\ ,\ U^+\,(t) = U^{-1}\,(t)\ , \tag{45}$$

where $U\,(t)$ is an evolution operator.

In the Heisenberg picture, where state vectors are "frozen" and the time evolution is governed by the Heisenberg operators $\check{\eta}\,(t) = U^{-1}\,(t)\,\hat{\eta}\,(t)\,U\,(t)$, one can see [14] that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\check{\eta} = \{\eta, H(t,\eta) + \epsilon\}_{D(\Phi)}|_{\eta=\check{\eta}}\,, \\ &[\check{\eta}^A\,(t)\,, \check{\eta}^B\,(t)] = i\{\eta^A, \eta^B\}_{D(\Phi)}|_{\eta=\check{\eta}}\,, \quad \Phi(\check{\eta}\,(t)\,, t) = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

while for Heisenberg operators $\check{F}\,(t) = U^{-1}\,(t)\,\hat{F}\,(t)\,U\,(t) = F(t, \check{\eta}\,(t))$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\check{F}\,(t) = \{F(t,\eta), H(t,\eta) + \epsilon\}_{D(\Phi)}|_{\eta=\check{\eta}}\,, \tag{47}$$

or

$$\frac{d}{dt}\check{F}\,(t) = -i\left[\check{F}\,(t)\,, \check{H}\,(t)\right] + \{F(t,\eta), \epsilon\}_{D(\Phi)}|_{\eta=\check{\eta}}\,. \tag{48}$$

The mean values $\langle F\rangle_t$ in the Heisenber picture in according to (44) and (45) are determined as

$$\langle F\rangle_t = \left(\Psi\,(0)\,, \check{F}\,(t)\,\Psi\,(0)\right)\ . \tag{49}$$

The above quantization provides the fulfilment of the correspondence principle because quantum equations (46) has the same form as the classical one (39).

Note that the time-dependence of the Heisenberg operators in the theories under consideration is not unitary in the general case. In other words, there exists no such ("Hamiltonian") operator whose commutator with a physical quantity can produce its total time derivative. This is explained by the existence of two factors which determine the time evolution of a Heisenberg operator. The first one is the unitary evolution of a state vector in the "Schrödinger" picture, while the second one is the time variation of a "Schrödinger" operators $\hat{\eta}$, which in general has a non-unitary character. The existence of these two factors is related to the division of the right-hand side of (48) into two summands. Physically, this is explained by the fact that dynamics develops on a surface which changes with time – in the general case, in a nonunitary way.

Below, we apply the above quantization scheme to the system under consideration. Taking into account the Dirac brackets (38), we can write the equal-time commutation relations (40) for phase-space operators as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\hat{x}^\alpha, \hat{x}^\beta\right] = i\omega^{\alpha\beta}|_{x=\hat{x}}\,, \\ &\left[\hat{\pi}_\alpha, \hat{\pi}_\beta\right] = i\ \partial_\alpha J_\rho \omega^{\rho\gamma}\partial_\beta J_\gamma|_{x=\hat{x}}\ , \\ &\left[\hat{x}^\alpha, \hat{\pi}_\beta\right] = i\delta^\alpha_\beta + i\ \omega^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_\beta J_\gamma|_{x=\hat{x}}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

In this case, the classical Hamiltonian H does not depend on the momenta π_α, and therefore in order to determine the quantum Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, we need to know only the time dependence of the operators $\hat{x}^\alpha$. From (41) it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{x}^\alpha = \omega^{\alpha\beta}(t,x)\partial_t J_\beta(t,x)\big|_{x=\hat{x}}\ . \tag{51}$$

5 Quantization of general quadratic theory

The quantum-mechanical description of quadratic systems is a widely discussed physical problem which has a number of important applications (see, e.g., [18]–[21] and references therein). Almost all of these works deal with the case of "Hamiltonian" quadratic systems, i.e., systems described by canonical Hamiltonian equations of motion. On the other hand, we consider a general quadratic system, i.e., a system described by arbitrary linear inhomogeneous equations of motion (20). In this case conditions (50), (51) become

$$\left[\hat{x}^\alpha, \hat{x}^\beta\right] = i\omega^{\alpha\beta}(t)\,, \tag{52}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{x}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\omega^{\alpha\beta}(t)\dot{\Omega}_{\beta\gamma}(t)\hat{x}^\gamma. \tag{53}$$

The time-dependence of the operators $\hat{x}$ can be easily found:

$$\hat{x}^\alpha(t) = \Phi^{\alpha\beta}(t)\hat{x}^\beta_0\,. \tag{54}$$

Here, the matrix Φ obeys the equation

$$\dot{\Phi} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega\dot{\Omega}\Phi\,, \quad \Phi(0) = E\,, \tag{55}$$

and the operators $\hat{x}_0$ obey the following commutation relations:

$$\left[\hat{x}_0^\alpha, \hat{x}_0^\beta\right] = i\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} = i\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{56}$$

see (19). In what follows, it is useful to divide the operators $\hat{x}_0^\alpha$ into the operators of coordinates proper and corresponding momenta $\hat{x}_0^\alpha = (\hat{q}^i, \hat{p}_i)$, $\alpha = 1,..,2n$, $i = 1,..,n$. The operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ obey the canonical commutation relations

$$\left[\hat{q}^i, \hat{p}_j\right] = i\delta_j^i, \;\left[\hat{q}^i, \hat{q}^j\right] = \left[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j\right] = 0. \tag{57}$$

The quantum Hamiltonian in eq. (43) takes the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{x}_0\Phi^T B\Phi\hat{x}_0 - C\Phi\hat{x}_0\,, \tag{58}$$

where the matrix B is determined by (25).

The above quantization is equivalent to quantization in Darboux coordinates, and the transformation $x \to \Phi(t)x_0$ provides, by itself, a passage to the Darboux coordinates x_0, because (55) implies

$$\Phi^t\Omega\Phi = \Omega_0\,. \tag{59}$$

Namely, in the coordinates x_0 the Poisson bracket is canonical. Therefore, $\Phi = \Gamma(t)R(t)$, where $\Gamma(t)$ is a fundamental solution of system (20). However, in contrast to the classical theory, now the matrix $R(t)$ is fixed, it must obey the conditions

$$\dot{R} = \Omega_0\Gamma^t B\Gamma R\,, \quad R(0) = E\,. \tag{60}$$

Thus, using (29) one can also rewrite the Hamiltonian in (58) as follows:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\hat{x}_0 R^T\Omega^{(0)}\dot{R}\hat{x}_0 + C\Gamma R\hat{x}_0\;. \tag{61}$$

It is remarkable that if the matrix A that determines the set of equations (20) is constant, the matrix that determines the quadratic part of the Hamiltonian in (58) is constant as well, and equals to

$$\Phi^T B\Phi = B(0) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega^{(0)}A - A^T\Omega^{(0)}\right). \tag{62}$$

This fact is easy to observe because the time derivative of this matrix, in view of (55), (23) and (25), is equal to zero:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\Phi^T B\Phi\right) = 0.$$

Thus, in this case, as distinct from the general case, the matrix Φ can be determined from the set of algebraic equations (59) and (62).

Note that if we start from a canonical Hamiltonian system the above quantization coincides with the usual canonical quantization, because in this case equation (53) becomes $d\hat{x}/dt = 0$, i.e., $\hat{x}(t) = \hat{x}_0$.

In the Heisenberg picture, equations (46) for the operators $\check{x}$ take the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}\check{x} = A(t)\check{x} + j(t), \tag{63}$$

$$\left[\check{x}^\alpha, \check{x}^\beta\right] = i\omega^{\alpha\beta}(t)\;. \tag{64}$$

Equations (63) coincide (the correspondence principle) with the classical equations of motion (20); however, the commutation relations (64) differ from the canonical ones. So, evolution of operators $\check{x}$ can be written as

$$\check{x}\left(t\right) = \Gamma(t)\check{x}_0 + \gamma(t)\,, \tag{65}$$

where operators $\check{x}_0$ as well as $\hat{x}_0$ obey the canonical commutation relations

$$\left[\check{x}_0^{\alpha}, \check{x}_0^{\beta}\right] = i\left(\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} = i\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{66}$$

Thus, mean values $\langle F\rangle_t$ of a physical quantity F according to (49) are determined as mean values of the corresponding operator $\check{F}(t) = F(t, \check{x}(t))$ with respect to initial states vectors $\Psi(0)$, i.e.

$$\langle F\rangle_t = \left(\Psi(0), F(t, \Gamma(t)\check{x}_0 + \gamma(t))\,\Psi(0)\right). \tag{67}$$

We see that the quantum evolution of physical quantities in general quadratic systems is completely determined by the classical one.

6 Quantization of damped harmonic oscillator

The above formulated quantization of non-Lagrangian theories and, in particular, of general quadratic theories can be immediately applied to quantizing a damped harmonic oscillator. The latter problem attracts attention for already more then 50 years , there exist different approaches to its solution, no one of them seems to be a final version which does not contain weak points, see e.g. [23]-[42], [1].

The classical equation of motion for a damped harmonic oscillator is non-Lagrangian, it has the form

$$\ddot{r} + 2\alpha\dot{r} + \omega^2 r = 0\,, \tag{68}$$

where ω is the angular frequency and $\alpha \geq 0$ is a friction coefficient. Introducing an auxiliary variable $y = \dot{r}$, we reduce (68) to the following equivalent pair of first-order equations:

$$\dot{r} = y\,,\ \dot{y} = -\omega^2 r - 2\alpha y\,. \tag{69}$$

Following the way proposed in sec. 2, we construct an action S that implies (69) as Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int dt\left[y\dot{r} - r\dot{y} - (y^2 + 2\alpha r y + \omega^2 r^2)\right]e^{2\alpha t}\,. \tag{70}$$

Note that equation (68) can be represented as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(e^{2\alpha t}\dot{r}\right) + e^{2\alpha t}\omega^2 r = 0\,,$$

i.e., as a Lagrangian equation of motion with time-dependent mass and frequency. In this case, the mass $e^{2\alpha t}$ is nothing else but an integrating multiplier for equation (68); however, as was already mentioned, an integrating multiplier does not always exist [3, 10].

Then we proceed with the canonical quantization described in the previous section. Equal-time commutations relations (52) and equations (53) determining time evolution of "Schrödinger" operators $\hat{r}$ and $\hat{y}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{r}, \hat{y}] &= ie^{-2\alpha t},\ [\hat{r}, \hat{r}] = [\hat{y}, \hat{y}] = 0, && (71) \\ \frac{d}{dt}\hat{r} &= -\alpha\hat{r},\quad \frac{d}{dt}\hat{y} = -\alpha\hat{y}\,. && (72) \end{aligned}$$

A solution of these equations has the form

$$\hat{r} = e^{-\alpha t}\hat{q}\,,\quad \hat{y} = e^{-\alpha t}\hat{p}\,, \tag{73}$$

where operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$ obey canonical commutations relations

$$[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = i\,,\ [\hat{q}, \hat{q}] = [\hat{p}, \hat{p}] = 0\,.$$

According to (58), the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian reads

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{p}^2 + \alpha\left(\hat{q}\hat{p} + \hat{p}\hat{q}\right) + \omega^2\hat{q}^2\right]\,.$$

It can be modified to the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{P}^2 + \left(\omega^2 - \alpha^2\right)\hat{Q}^2\right] \tag{74}$$

by the help of the canonical transformation $(\hat{p}, \hat{q}) \to (\hat{P}, \hat{Q})$, where $\hat{P} = \hat{p} + \alpha\hat{q}$, and $\hat{Q} = \hat{q}$. The corresponding generating function is $W = qP - \alpha q^2/2$.

As usual we define the classical energy of the system by

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{r}^2 + \omega^2 r^2\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(y^2 + \omega^2 r^2\right) .$$

One can easy see the energy depends of time as follows: $E = E_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$. Using (73), we obtain an expression for the operator $\hat{E}$ that corresponds to the classical quantity E,

$$\hat{E} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\alpha t}\left[\hat{P}^2 - \alpha(\hat{P}\hat{Q} + \hat{Q}\hat{P}) + (\omega^2 + \alpha^2)\hat{Q}^2\right] . \tag{75}$$

Let us consider the underdumped case, $\alpha < \omega$. Then (74) is a Hamiltonian of a harmonic oscillator with an angular frequency $\tilde{\omega} = \sqrt{\omega^2 - \alpha^2}$. Stationary states of the corresponding Schrödinger equation have the form

$$\Psi_n = e^{-iE_n t}\psi_n(Q) , \; E_n = \tilde{\omega}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) , \quad n = 0, 1, ...,$$

$$\psi_n(Q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}}\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{\pi}\right)^{1/4} e^{-\tilde{\omega}Q^2/2} H_n\left(Q\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}}\right) , \tag{76}$$

The mean values of energy (of the operator (75)) in such states can be easily calculated,

$$\langle E \rangle_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\omega^2}{\tilde{\omega}}e^{-2\alpha t} . \tag{77}$$

At each fixed time instant, the energy spectrum is discrete, however, it decreses with time exactly as in classical theory. The same conclusion was derived in [36]-[38] where a second-order action obtained by integrating-multiplier method was taken as a starting point for a quantization. A quantization of the damped oscillator following Bateman (see above) meets serious difficulties such as indefinite metric etc., [38, 39].

Overdumped cases, when $\alpha \geq \omega$ correspond to an aperiodic motion in classical theory [43]. Its quantum interpretation is not clear due to continuous character of the Hamiltonian spectrum.

A nontrivial generalization of equation (68) could be an n-dimensional damped oscillator[3]

$$\ddot{r} + 2\mathbf{a}\dot{r} + \boldsymbol{\omega} r = 0 , \tag{78}$$

with the matrixes $\mathbf{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ being constant and symmetric. Introducing auxiliary variables, $y = \dot{r}$, $y = \left(y^i\right)$, we reduce (78) to the following set of first-order equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= Ax \, , \, (x = (x^\alpha) = (r^i, y^i)) \, , \\ A &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{I} \\ -\boldsymbol{\omega} & -2\mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix} . \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

This is a set of linear equations with constant coefficients. In this case, the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian can be constructed, according to (62), as follows:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{x}_0 B\left(0\right)\hat{x}_0 , \tag{80}$$

where

$$B\left(0\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\omega} & \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

and the operators $\hat{x}_0$ can be divided into the operators of the coordinates proper $\hat{q}$ and those of the corresponding momenta $\hat{p}$, with the canonical commutation relations (57). Further solution of the quantum problem with quadratic Hamiltonian (80) can follow, for example, [18, **?**].

---

[3] Here, we use matrix notation, $r = \left(r^i\right)$, $\mathbf{a} = \left(a^i_j\right)$, $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \left(\omega^i_j\right)$, $i, j = 1, .., n$.

7 Quantization of radiating point-like charge

Equations of motion for a nonrelativistic particle moving in electric **E** and magnetic **H** fields with account taken of the back reaction of the radiation emitted by the particle have the form [44]

$$
\begin{gathered}
m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{f}\,, \; (\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z))\,, \\
\mathbf{F} = e\mathbf{E} + \frac{e}{c}[\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{H}], \; \mathbf{f} = \frac{2e^2}{3c^3}\dddot{\mathbf{r}}\,. \qquad (81)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here **F** is the Lorentz force, **f** is the force of the back reaction of the radiation, e is the charge of the particle, and c is the light velocity. Derivatives with respect to time are denoted by dots above.

These equations are of third order, therefore a trajectory of a charged particle cannot by uniquely specified only by initial position and velocity of the particle. It was also pointed out that together with physically meaningful solutions, equations (81) have a set of nonphysical solution [44]. However, in the case when the back reaction force **f** is small when compared to the Lorentz one **F**,

$$
|\mathbf{f}| \ll |\mathbf{F}|\,, \qquad (82)
$$

these equations can be reduced to the second order equations by means of a reduction of order procedure. Then the above mentioned problem with nonphysical solutions does not appear. In the reduction procedure, equations (81) are replaced by second-order equations $\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{r}}, e)$ such that all the solutions of the latter equations would be solutions of (81). The last requirement implies a partial differential equation on the function $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{r}}, e)$ having a unique solution with the natural condition $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{r}}, 0) = 0$, see e.g. [44, 10].

Consider, for example, a particular case $\mathbf{E} = 0$, $\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, H = \text{const})$. In such a case, the reduced second-order equations have the form [10]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{x} = -\alpha\dot{x} - \beta\dot{y}\,, \; \ddot{y} = \beta\dot{x} - \alpha\dot{y}\,, \; \ddot{z} = 0\,, \\
&\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{6}\sqrt{3 + \sqrt{9 + 64e^6H^2}} - 6}{8e^2} \approx \frac{2}{3}e^4H^2\,, \; \beta = \frac{eH\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{3 + \sqrt{9 + 64e^6H^2}}} \approx eH\,. \qquad (83)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have set $m = c = 1$ for simplicity. Since the evolution along the z-axis represents the free motion and decouples from the dynamics in the xy-plane, we restrict our consideration to the first two equations. At $\alpha = 0$, equations (83) are Lorentz equations with an "effective" magnetic field $\beta = (0, 0, \beta/e)$. In this case, the trajectories are concentric circles. If $\alpha \neq 0$, the particle spirals at the origin of xy-plane. So, it is natural to treat α as a friction coefficient.

In order to construct an action functional for the non-Lagrangian second-order equations (83), we introduce new variables as follows:

$$
p = \dot{x} + \frac{\beta}{2}y\,, \; q = \dot{y} - \frac{\beta}{2}x\,.
$$

In the new variables, we have a set of first-order equations,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} &= p - \frac{\beta}{2}y\,, \; \dot{y} = q + \frac{\beta}{2}x\,, \\
\dot{p} &= -\frac{\beta}{2}q - \frac{\beta^2}{4}x - \alpha\left(p - \frac{\beta}{2}y\right)\,, \; \dot{q} = \frac{\beta}{2}p - \frac{\beta^2}{4}y - \alpha\left(q + \frac{\beta}{2}x\right)\,. \qquad (84)
\end{aligned}
$$

According to general formulas (24), (25), and (25), we construct the following action for the set (84):

$$
\begin{aligned}
S = \frac{1}{2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \int e^{\alpha t} \big[&a(p\dot{x} - x\dot{p} + q\dot{y} - y\dot{q}) + \beta(q\dot{x} - x\dot{q} + y\dot{p} - p\dot{y}) \qquad (85) \\
&+ c(p\dot{q} - q\dot{p}) + d(x\dot{y} - y\dot{x}) - e(p^2 + q^2) - f(x^2 + y^2) - g(px + qy) - j(qx - py)\big]\, dt\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where time-dependent functions $a, b, c, d, e, f, g,$ and j are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&a = \alpha^2\cos(\beta t) + \beta^2\cosh(-\alpha t)\,, \; b = \alpha^2\sin(\beta t) + \alpha\beta e^{-\alpha t} - \alpha\beta\cos(\beta t), \\
&c = e^{-\alpha t}\beta - 2\alpha\sin(\beta t), \; d = -\frac{\beta^3}{2}\sinh(-\alpha t) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\beta\sin(\beta t) + \alpha^2\beta\left[\cos(\beta t) - e^{-\alpha t}\right], \\
&e = e^{-\alpha t}\beta^2 + \alpha^2\cos(\beta t) - \alpha\beta\sin(\beta t), \; g = \alpha\beta^2\cos(\beta t) + \alpha^3\cos(\beta t), \\
&f = \frac{\beta}{4}\left\{\beta^3 e^{\alpha t} + \beta\alpha^2\cos(\beta t) + \alpha[\beta^2 + 2\alpha^2]\sin(\beta t)\right\}\,, \; j = \alpha^3\sin(\beta t) + e^{\alpha t}\beta^3 + \alpha^2\beta\cos(\beta t).
\end{aligned}
$$

In the limit of zero friction $\alpha \to 0$, this action is reduced to the usual action for a charged particle in a homogeneous magnetic field β.

The set (84) is linear one with constant coefficients. For such a case, the corresponding quantum Hamiltonian can be constructed according to (62) as

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1 + \hat{q}_1\hat{p}_1 + \hat{p}_2\hat{q}_2 + \hat{q}_2\hat{p}_2\right) + \beta(\hat{p}_2\hat{q}_1 - \hat{p}_1\hat{q}_2) + \frac{\beta^2}{4}\left(\hat{q}_1^2 + \hat{q}_2^2\right)\right] \tag{86}$$

with operators $\hat{q}_i$ and $\hat{p}_j$ obeying canonical commutations relations,

$$[\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij},\ [\hat{q}_i, \hat{q}_j] = [\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2\ .$$

By help of a canonical transformation $(\hat{p}_1, \hat{q}_1; \hat{p}_2, \hat{q}_2) \to (\hat{p}, \hat{x}; \hat{q}, \hat{y})$, where

$$\hat{p} = \hat{p}_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\hat{q}_1,\ \hat{x} = \hat{q}_1,\ \hat{q} = \hat{p}_2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\hat{q}_2,\ \hat{y} = \hat{q}_2\ ,$$

we reduce (86) to the form

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{p}^2 + \hat{q}^2 + \beta(\hat{q}\hat{x} - \hat{p}\hat{y}) + \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{4}\left(\hat{x}^2 + \hat{y}^2\right)\right]. \tag{87}$$

Condition (82) in the case under consideration implies $\alpha \ll \beta$, that is why α^2 will be omitted in (87) in what follows.

Consider eigenstates Ψ for two mutually commuting operators $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{L} = \hat{p}\hat{y} - \hat{q}\hat{x}$,

$$\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi\ ,\ \hat{L}\Psi = M\Psi\ . \tag{88}$$

It is convenient to perform the following canonical transformation $(\hat{p}, \hat{x}; \hat{q}, \hat{y}) \to (\hat{P}, \hat{X}; \hat{Q}, \hat{Y})$,

$$\hat{P} = \hat{p} - \frac{\beta}{2}\hat{y}\ ,\ \hat{X} = \frac{1}{\beta}\left(\hat{q} + \frac{\beta}{2}\hat{x}\right)\ ,$$
$$\hat{Q} = \hat{q} - \frac{\beta}{2}\hat{x}\ ,\ \hat{Y} = \frac{1}{\beta}\left(\hat{p} + \frac{\beta}{2}\hat{y}\right)\ .$$

It is easy to see that

$$\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{P}^2 + \beta^2\hat{X}^2\right)\ ,\ \hat{L} = \beta^{-1}(\hat{H}_1 - \hat{H})\ ,\ \hat{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{Q}^2 + \beta^2\hat{Y}^2\right)\ .$$

Operators $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{H}_1$ are Hamiltonians of two independent harmonic oscillators. Then we can divide variables solving (88). Thus, we obtain solution of the eigenvalue problem (88),

$$\Psi = \Psi_{n,l}(X, Y) = \psi_n(X)\psi_l(Y)\ ,\ \ E_n = \beta\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\ ,\ M_{nl} = l - n\ ,\ n, l = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

where ψ_n and ψ_l are eigenstates of the Hamiltonians $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{H}_1$ respectively (given e.g. by (76)). Finally, stationary states $\Psi(t)$ of the corresponding Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ have the form

$$\Psi(X, Y, t) = e^{-iE_n t}\Psi_{n,l}(X, Y)\ . \tag{89}$$

We define the classical energy E of the system under consideration according to [43] as the mechanical energy of the system without friction,

$$E = \frac{1}{2}\left[p^2 + q^2 + \beta(qx - py) + \frac{\beta^2}{4}\left(x^2 + y^2\right)\right]\ .$$

One can see that the energy depends of time as follows: $E = E_0 e^{-2\alpha t}$. An operator $\hat{E}$ that corresponds to the classical quantity E reads:

$$\hat{E} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\hat{P}^2 + \beta^2\hat{X}^2 + \alpha\left(\hat{X}\hat{Y} - \hat{P}\hat{Q}\right)\right]e^{-2\alpha t} - 2\alpha\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)\left[\hat{P}\hat{Y} + \hat{Q}\hat{X}\right]e^{-2\alpha t} + o\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)\ .$$

Mean values of this operator in stationary states (89) can be easily calculated, they are

$$\langle E\rangle_{nl} = \beta\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)e^{-2\alpha t}.$$

Similar to the damped oscillator case considered above, at each fixed time instant, the energy spectrum is discrete, however, it decreses with time exactly as in classical theory.

We would like to note that in the work [10] it was shown that although an action principle for the second-order equations (83) describing a radiating point-like charge does exist, none of the possible corresponding Lagrangians in the limit of $\alpha \to 0$ reduces to the Lagrangian of a particle in a magnetic field modulo a total time derivative. That is, in the case of a radiating point-like charge a perturbation (in the friction parameter α) of a second-order action does not correspond to a perturbation of the equations of motion (83). For this reason, we expect some difficulties with the limit of $\alpha \to 0$ in the quantum theory of a radiating point-like charge resulting from quantization based on an action functional in the second-order form (such quantization for a damped harmonic oscillator was presented in [38]-[37]).

8 Concluding remarks

We stress that any nondegenerate set of differential equations written in an equivalent first-order form can be derived from an action principle. In the general case, such a set does not provide enough information to fix a class of quantum theories that, in the classical limit, provide this set of differential equations for mean values. Therefore, physical considerations must be used to choose an adeqate quantum theory. In particular, if one definitely knows that a non-Lagrangian set of equations describes a dissipative system, which is subjected to a dissipation due to essential interaction with an environment (reservoir), it is reasonable to consider the system and the reservoir as two interacting subsystems of a closed system. Then a quantum description of the dissipative subsystem can be obtained from a quantum theory of a whole system by averaging over the reservoir. Such an approach was developed in many articles, see [24]-[34]. However, one cannot consider such an approach as quantization of initial dissipative subsystem, since quantization was already made for the whole system. In the present article, we consider an approach where we actually quantize a system with a given set of equations. It turns out that its “non-Lagrangian” behavior is due to a time-dependent external field. It is a principally different physical situation in comparison with dissipation of a subsystem. However, quantum theories obtained from our procedure may be useful to describe some quantum-mechanical properties of both dissipative systems and “non-Lagrangian” systems of other physical nature, like a monopole.

Acknowledgement 1 *Gitman is grateful to the Brazilian foundations FAPESP and CNPq for permanent support; Kupriyanov thanks FAPESP for support.*

References

[1] V.G. Kupriyanov, S.L. Lyakhovich and A.A. Sharapov, J.Phys.**A38** (2005) 8039.

[2] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc.Roy.Soc. **A133** (1931) 60.

[3] J. Douglas, Trans.Am.Math. Soc. 50, N 71 (1941) 71-87.

[4] V.V. Dodonov, V.I. Man'ko and V.D. Skarzhinsky, *Arbitrariness in the choice of action and quantization of the given classical equations of motion*, preprint of P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute (1978).

[5] W. Sarlet, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.**15** (1982) 1503.

[6] P. Havas, Actra.Phys.Aust. **38** (1973) 145.

[7] R. Santilli, Ann.Phys. (NY)**103** (1977) p.354-359.

[8] S. Hojman and L. Urrutia, J.Math.Phys. **22** (1981) 1896.

[9] M. Henneaux, Ann.Phys, **140** (1982) 45.

[10] V.G. Kupriyanov, Int.J.Theor.Phys.**45** (2006) 1129.

[11] M.Henneaux, L.Sheplley, J.Math.Phys **23** (1982) 2101.

[12] J.Cislo and J.Lopuzanski, J.Math.Phys. **42** (2001) 5163.

[13] P.Tempesta, E. Alfinito, R.Leo and G.Soliani, J.Math.Phys. **43** (2002) 3583.

[14] D.M. Gitman and I.V. Tyutin, *Quantization of Fields with Constraints* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1990).

[15] D.M. Gitman, S.L. Lyakhovich, M.D. Noskov and I.V. Tyutin, Izv VUZov **3** (1986).

[16] D.M. Gitman and I.V.Tyutin, Nucl.Phys. **B630** (2002) 509.

[17] F.A. Berezin and M.A. Shubin, *Schrödinger Equation* (Kluwer Publ., New York, Amsterdam 1991)

[18] H.R. Lewis and W.B. Riesenfeld, J.Math.Phys. **10** (1969) 1458.

[19] J.K. Kim and S.P. Kim, J.Phys.**A32** (1999) 2711.

[20] H.Kim and J.Yee, Phys.Rev. **A66** (2002) 032117.

[21] Kyu Hwang Yeon, Chung In UM, T.F.George, Phys.Rev. **A68** (2003) 052108.

[22] H. Bateman, Phys.Rev. **38** (1931) 815.

[23] E. Kanai, Progr.Theor.Phys., **3** (1948) 440.

[24] I.R. Senitzky, Phys.Rev. **119** (1960) 670.

[25] R.P. Feynman and F.L. Vernon, Ann.Phys. **24** (1963) 118.

[26] H.Haken, Rev.Mod.Phys. **47** (1975) 68.

[27] H.Dekker, Phys.Rev. **A16** (1977) 2116.

[28] A. Caldeira and A. Leggett, Physica **A121** (1983) 587.

[29] I.A.Pedrosa and B. Baseia, Phys.Rev. **D30** (1984) 765.

[30] D.Walls, G.Miburn, Phys.Rev. **A31** (1985) 2403.

[31] H.Grabert, P.Shramm and G. Ingold, Phys.Rep **168** (1988) 115.

[32] Li Hua Yu, Chang-Pu Sun, Phys.Rev. **A49** (1994) 592.

[33] I.Joichi, S.Matsumoto and M.Yoshimura, Prog.Theor.Phys., **98** (1997) 9.

[34] M. Rosenau, A. Caldeira, S. Dutra, H. Westfahl, Phys.Rev. **A61** (2000) 022107.

[35] W.E. Brittin, Phys. Rev. **77** (1950) 396; N.A. Lemos, Phys.Rev. **D24** (1981) 2338.

[36] R.Hasse, J.Math.Phys. **16** (1975) 2005.

[37] D.C.Khandekar and S.V.Lavande, J.Math.Phys. **20** (1979) 1870.

[38] H.Dekker, Phys.Rep. **80** (1981) 1.

[39] E. Celeghini, M. Rasetti and G. Vitello, Ann.Phys. **215** (1992) 156.

[40] R.Banerjee and P.Mukherjee, J.Phys.**A35** (2002) 5591.

[41] M. Blasone and P. Jizba, Ann.Phys. **312** (2004) 354.

[42] D. Chruscinski, Ann. Phys. **321** (2006) 840; Ann. Phys. **321** (2006) 854

[43] L.D. Landau and E.M. LIfshitz, Mechanics, Oxford:Pergamon, 1962.

[44] V.L. Ginzburg, Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics: Aditional Chapters, Moscow: Nauka, 1987 (in russian).